

Table 1. OLS Regression of Degree of Belief in Gender Inequality on Feminist Self-Identification

Variables	Model 1
<b>Feminist</b>	<b>0.29</b> *** <b>(0.08)</b>
Female	0.22 *** (0.06)
Age	0.07 * (0.03)
White	-0.43 *** (0.06)
Hispanic	-0.01 (0.15)
Other (non-Hispanic)	-0.17 (0.21)
Two plus races	-0.33 (0.22)
Northeast	-0.08 (0.08)
Midwest	0.08 (0.07)
West	0.01 (0.08)
Low income	-0.13 (0.09)
Lower-middle income	-0.15 . (0.09)
Higher-middle income	-0.12 (0.10)
High income	-0.06 (0.09)
Did not complete high school	-0.26 * (0.11)
Some college	0.13 . (0.07)
Bachelor's degree or higher	0.24 ** (0.08)
Political Attitude <sup>1</sup>	0.13 *** (0.02)
Constant	2.94 *** (0.12)
F-Statistic	12.60 ***
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.12
Total Individuals	1,511

*Data Source:* 2012 Outlook on Life Surveys

*Notes:* (Standard Error) \*\*\* p<0.0001 \*\* p< 0.001 \* p<0.01 . p<0.05

<sup>1</sup>Index ranges from 1 (extremely conservative) to 7 (extremely liberal)

Table 2. OLS Regressions of Explicit Political Participation and Political Activism on Self-Identification as Feminist and Belief in Gender Inequality

Variables	Explicit Participation		Activism	
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
<b>Feminist</b>	<b>0.40</b> ***	<b>0.37</b> ***	<b>0.24</b> ***	<b>0.23</b> **
	(0.11)	(0.11)	(0.07)	(0.07)
<b>Degree of Belief in Existence of Gender Inequality<sup>1</sup></b>		<b>0.04</b>		<b>0.04</b> .
		(0.04)		(0.02)
Female	-0.15 .	-0.16 .	-0.04	-0.05
	(0.08)	(0.08)	(0.05)	(0.05)
Age	0.21 ***	0.22 ***	0.09 ***	0.09 ***
	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.03)	(0.03)
White	-0.50 ***	-0.48 ***	-0.15 **	-0.13 *
	(0.09)	(0.09)	(0.06)	(0.06)
Hispanic	-0.19	-0.18	0.06	0.07
	(0.22)	(0.22)	(0.14)	(0.14)
Other (non-Hispanic)	-0.84 **	-0.82 **	-0.52 **	-0.51 **
	(0.30)	(0.30)	(0.19)	(0.19)
Two plus races	-0.18	-0.16	-0.30	-0.28
	(0.31)	(0.31)	(0.20)	(0.20)
Northeast	0	0.01	0.15 *	0.16 *
	(0.11)	(0.11)	(0.07)	(0.07)
Midwest	0.11	0.12	0.24 ***	0.24 ***
	(0.10)	(0.10)	(0.07)	(0.07)
West	-0.09	-0.08	0.21 **	0.22 **
	(0.12)	(0.12)	(0.08)	(0.08)
Low income	0.23 .	0.24 .	0.12	0.13
	(0.13)	(0.13)	(0.08)	(0.08)
Lower-middle income	0.06	-0.05	0.04	0.03
	(0.12)	(0.12)	(0.08)	(0.08)
Higher-middle income	-0.10	-0.09	0.11	0.11
	(0.15)	(0.15)	(0.10)	(0.09)
High income	-0.19	0.20	0.12	0.13
	(0.13)	(0.13)	(0.08)	(0.08)
Did not complete high school	0.14	0.16	-0.06	-0.04
	(0.16)	(0.16)	(0.10)	(0.10)
Some college	0.26 *	0.25 *	0.20 **	0.20 **
	(0.11)	(0.11)	(0.07)	(0.07)

Bachelor's degree or higher	0.36 (0.11)	**	0.36 (0.11)	**	0.35 (0.07)	***	0.35 (0.07)	***
Political Attitude <sup>2</sup>	-0.01 (0.03)		-0.01 (0.03)		-0.01 (0.02)		-0.01 (0.02)	
Constant	0.19 (0.22)		0.04 (0.24)		0.23 (0.14)	*	0.17 (0.15)	
F-Statistic	6.24	***	6.0	***	5.47	***	5.52	***
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.06		0.06		0.05		0.06	
Total Individuals	1,480		1,474		1,480		1,474	

*Data Source:* 2012 Outlook on Life Surveys

*Notes:* (Standard Error) \*\*\* p<0.0001 \*\* p< 0.001 \* p<0.01 ‘.’ p<0.05

<sup>1</sup> Index ranges from 1 (low degree of belief) to 5 (high degree of belief)

<sup>2</sup> Index ranges from 1 (extremely conservative) to 7 (extremely liberal)

Table 3. Logistic Regression of Feminist Self-Identification on Degree of Belief in Gender Inequality

Variables	Model 1
<b>Degree of Belief in Existence of Gender Inequality<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>0.29</b> *** <b>(0.07)</b>
Female	1.15 *** (0.15)
Age	0.15 * (0.07)
White	0.47 ** (0.16)
Hispanic	0.41 (0.40)
Other (non-Hispanic)	0.28 (0.50)
Two plus races	0.86 . (0.52)
Northeast	0.09 (0.20)
Midwest	-0.34 . (0.20)
West	-0.06 (0.21)
Low income	0.27 (0.24)
Lower-middle income	0.13 .

	(0.23)	
Higher-middle income	-0.17	
	(0.29)	
High income	0.19	
	(0.23)	
Did not complete high school	-0.17	
	(0.32)	
Some college	0.22	
	(0.20)	
Bachelor's degree or higher	0.50	*
	(0.21)	
Political Attitude <sup>2</sup>	0.43	***
	(0.05)	
Constant	-5.93	***
	(0.49)	
<hr/>		
Chi <sup>2</sup>	199.8	***
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.14	
Total Individuals	1,511	
<hr/>		

*Data Source:* 2012 Outlook on Life Surveys

*Notes:* (Standard Error) \*\*\* p<0.0001 \*\* p< 0.001 \* p<0.01 ‘.’ p<0.05

<sup>1</sup>Index ranges from 1 (low degree of belief) to 5 (high degree of belief)

<sup>2</sup>Index ranges from 1 (extremely conservative) to 7 (extremely liberal)

## Works Cited

- Coffé, Hilde, and Catherine Bolzendahl. 2010. "Same Game, Different Rules? Gender Differences in Political Participation." *Sex Roles* 62(5):318–33. doi: [10.1007/s11199-009-9729-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-009-9729-y).
- <sup>4</sup> Conover, Pamela Johnston. 1988. "Feminists and the Gender Gap." *The Journal of Politics* 50(4):985–1010. doi: [10.2307/2131388](https://doi.org/10.2307/2131388).
- <sup>23</sup> Huddy, Leonie. 2001. "From Social to Political Identity: A Critical Examination of Social Identity Theory." *Political Psychology* 22(1):127–56. doi: [10.1111/0162-895X.00230](https://doi.org/10.1111/0162-895X.00230).
- <sup>34</sup> Klandermans, P. G. 2014. "Identity Politics and Politicized Identities: Identity Processes and the Dynamics of Protest." *Political Psychology* 35(1):1–22.
- <sup>12</sup> Lorber, J. 2010. "Feminisms and Their Contributions to Gender Equality." In *Gender Inequality: Feminist Theories and Politics* (4):1–20. New York: Oxford University Press.
- <sup>5</sup> Robnett, Belinda and Katherine Tate. 2012. "Outlook on Life Surveys." Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research [distributor], 2015-01-16.
- <sup>4</sup> Shapiro, Robert Y., and Harpreet Mahajan. 1986. "Gender Differences in Policy Preferences: A Summary of Trends From the 1960s to the 1980s." *The Public Opinion Quarterly* 50(1):42–61.
- <sup>3</sup> Tajfel, H. 1981. *Human groups and social categories: Studies in social psychology*. Cup Archive.
- <sup>3</sup> Tajfel, H., Turner, J. C., Austin, W. G., & Worchel, S. 1979. "An integrative theory of intergroup conflict." In *Organizational identity: A reader*, 56(65), 9780203505984-16.

<sup>34</sup>Turner, J. C., Hogg, M. A., Oakes, P. J., Reicher, S. D., & Wetherell, M. S.

1987. *Rediscovering the social group: A self-categorization theory*. basil Blackwell.